Applicant(s) Application No. FAHRAEUS, CHRISTER 09/746,782 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2629 Kimnhung Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kimnhung Nguyen. (2) CATHEAME M. VOISING #52,327 Date of Interview: 26 April 2006 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: $\underline{5}$, 652, 412; 5, 889, 888. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Note (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Note: The previous office action will be wothdrawn, because the combinations of the two references 5,652,412 and 5,889,888 do not have a motivation. Examiner will consider the case again after received a new amendment. Chims 13, and 41 also overcome the two references of recurded.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	09/746,782	FAHRAEUS, CHRISTER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Kimnhung Nguyen</u> .	(3)		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 April 2006.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an			•
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

Application No.

Applicant(s)